Use of obstetric gel in nulliparous pregnant women: Maternal and neonatal outcomes
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Abstract

Objective: The study aims to investigate the effects of obstetric gel application on the first and second stages of labor in nulliparous pregnant women, the presence of its protective effect on perineum and fetal and maternal outcomes associated with this method.

Methods: The nulliparous pregnant women who admitted to our hospital between 37 and 41 weeks of gestation were randomized into two groups, which were those applied gel and those not applied. During their labors, pregnant women were not administered any labor induction or augmentation; they underwent routine episiotomy, but routine episiotomy was not performed during labor. Both groups were compared in terms of perineal laceration, episiotomy need, periods of labor stages, laboratory data, and newborn data.

Results: A total of 200 nulliparous pregnant women (Group I: n=102, spontaneous follow-up; Group II: n=98, gel-applied) who were complying with study criteria were evaluated. No difference was found in maternal demographic data, laboratory data and newborn results. In gel-applied patients, the first and second stages of labor were shorter and they were statistically significant. In terms of episiotomy procedure / need, there was no difference between the groups. In terms of cases where laceration did not occur and mild perineal lacerations (1st and 2nd degrees), the results were relatively better in gel-applied pregnant women, and no statistical difference was found in advanced perineal lacerations (3rd and 4th degrees).

Conclusion: Use of obstetric gel during labor in nulliparous women provides a significant reduction in labor stages and there are fewer lacerations.
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Introduction

The most important risk factors for pelvic organ prolapse and urinary incontinence are the injury of pelvic floor together with the lacerations of pelvic muscles, particularly m. levator ani.¹ Many risk factors such as hormonal changes during pregnancy, maternal age, fetal birth weight etc. are considered as the factors accounted for pelvic floor dysfunction. In addition, the second stage of...
labor and its extension are considered as the most important obstetric factors for the injury and dysfunction of pelvic floor.\(^2\) The extended second stage of labor increases operative vaginal labor rates and it is associated with the undesired peripartum morbidity of newborn.\(^3\)

Decreasing operative vaginal labor rates by the limited episiotomy procedure or forceps / vacuum application rather than routine procedure may help to decrease pelvic floor injury during labor.\(^4\) Apart from that, it has been shown by randomized controlled studies and Cochrane analyses that perineal massage before or during labor provides protection against pelvic floor injury and decreases postpartum perineal pain.\(^5\)

Obstetric gels are liquid-based gels generally developed to facilitate vaginal labor. The purpose of the procedure is to facilitate the fetus delivery for pregnant woman and to protect perineal area and pelvic floor. Obstetric gel decreases the friction between fetus and vagina by its lubricating effect on birth canal. In this way, it is reported that it decreases labor duration for 30% (mean: 26 minutes) both in nulliparous and multiparous women and protects vagina, pelvic floor and perineum of mother.\(^6\) It was also reported that use of obstetric gel together with perineal massage significantly prevents perineal lacerations.\(^7\) In our study, we investigated the effects of obstetric gel on perineum and labor.

We used random numbers method on MedCalc for randomizing the patient groups. Accordingly, we applied Natalis\(^{\text{TM}}\) once, which is both oil- and water-based Formula in gel form, to the study group. Natalis\(^{\text{TM}}\) gel is a mixture containing propylene glycol, hydroxyethyl cellulose, sodium chlorite, glycerol and xanthan gum (contains mostly hydroxyethyl cellulose and glycerol). The related gel was applied 15ml by the disposable sterile syringe and applicator on cervix and adjacent area when the first stage of labor started, in the presence of active contractions and when cervical dilatation was 4cm, and routine primigravida follow-up protocol was performed. On the other hand, only the standard labor protocol, which is performed for primigravida pregnant women, was performed for the patients in the control group.

Depending on the current gel amount we had, we only estimated it to be used on 105 patients for both groups (n=210); 3 patients in Group I and 7 patients in Group II were taken to emergency operative labor until the end of labor due to the fetal distress. As a result, Group I and Group II consisted of 102 and 98 patients, respectively, in our study.

In our study, we did not perform additional procedures which may affect or extend the stages of labor in the cases by not applying epidural anesthesia or additional analgesics.

For statistical analyses, we used MedCalc (version 13.3; Mariakerke, Belgium) statistics software. For comparing descriptive statistical methods (mean, standard deviation, rate, minimum, maximum) as well as quantitative data when evaluating study data, we used Student t test in the two-group comparison of parameters displaying normal distribution, and Mann-Whitney U test in the two-group comparison of parameters not displaying normal distribution. For the comparison qualitative data, we used Fisher’s exact test and chi-square test. \(p<0.05\) was considered statistical significance threshold for the results.

### Results

The demographic characteristics of the patients from both groups included in the study are summarized in Table 1. There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of age, week of gestation and BMI value.

Mean birth weight of newborns was 3259.56±403.81g in Group I and 3171.40±390.12 g in Group II.
Newborns with birth weight 4000 g and above were defined as large. Three (2.94%) large newborns in Group I and 4 large (4.08%) newborns in Group II were observed. When neonatal data were analyzed, no significant difference was found among the parameters (Table 2).

In terms of hemoglobin and hematocrit values before and after labor between Group I and Group II, the data of both groups were statistically similar (Table 3).

In our study, we found the durations for first and second stages of labor 234.83±26.38 and 75.80±15.21 minutes, respectively in Group I. In Group II, the durations for first and second stages of labor were 215.10±26.61 and 49.82±15.49 minutes, respectively. When we compared two values, we found statistically significant difference (p<0.001) (Table 4).

In our study where routine episiotomy was not performed, 24 pregnant women in Group I and 31 pregnant women in Group II needed episiotomy during labor. Perineal lacerations were observed in 91 pregnant women in Group; however, none of them was Grade 4, but only 3 cases were Grade 3. In Group II, perineal laceration was observed in 73 pregnant women; while no laceration was Grade 4, it was Grade 3 in two pregnant women. The rates of perineal lacerations were low at a statistically significant level in the group which was applied gel (p=0.009 for those not observed at all; and p=0.015 for Grades 1 and 2 lacerations). In terms of advanced perineal lacerations (Grades 3 and 4), there was no significant difference between the groups (p=0.622) (Table 5).

Discussion
It is known that the friction force between vagina and the baby is an important factor in vaginal deliver, it is affected by parity, and changes with substances reducing friction such as lubricants. During Ancient Greek period, Chiron promoted to use olive oil during the delivery of

---

**Table 1.** Demographic data of the pregnant women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Min–max values</th>
<th>Mean and standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group I (n=102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (year)</td>
<td>18–38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week of gestation</td>
<td>37–41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.14–29.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Newborn values of the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Min–max values</th>
<th>Mean and standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group I (n=102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-min Apgar</td>
<td>6–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-min Apgar</td>
<td>8–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>2480–4600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference (cm)</td>
<td>31–38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Hematologic results of the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Min–max values</th>
<th>Mean and standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group I (n=102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>7–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal Hct (%)</td>
<td>25–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>6–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal Hct (%)</td>
<td>23–44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
horses, which is still a valid practice in veterinary medicine today.\cite{citation}

Mean age of the pregnant women in our study was 27.38±0.31 years, and the age group 25–29 had the highest number of patients. This was corresponding to the results of 2014 Turkey Demographic and Health Survey (TDHS).\cite{citation} In Turkey, 64% of the labors were carried out by pregnant women who are 30 years old or below. Compared to other similar studies, our study had relatively younger population. The mean maternal age in our study was 5 years younger than the study of Schaub et al.\cite{citation} and 4 years younger than the study of Ashwal et al.\cite{citation} Similarly, in the light of the study of Eren et al. showing the adverse effects of adolescent pregnancies, which is a significant problem in Turkey, we did not include the population below 18-year-old in our study due to the potentially high perinatal problems in adolescent pregnancies.\cite{citation}

In our data, without any additional procedures (cesarean section, vaginal operative procedures or Kristeller maneuver), the first stage of labor decreased for 19 minutes (p<0.001) and second stage of labor decreased for 26 minutes (p<0.001) with the use of obstetric gel in pregnant women delivering vaginally, and this was clinically and statistically significant. By not performing epidural anesthesia in our study, we did not carry out any additional procedure which may affect the stages of labor in our cases. Stamp et al. performed perineal massage in their study and thus shortened the second stage of labor for 11 minutes.\cite{citation} Similarly, Schaub et al.\cite{citation} used Dianatal\textsuperscript{TM} obstetric gel (propylene glycol - polyacrylic acid) in their multicentric randomized controlled study and shortened the second stage of the labor, reporting a significant decrease in perineal lacerations.

On the other hand, Ashwal et al.\cite{citation} assessed 200 pregnant women, and showed that the use of obstetric gel is safe in terms of maternal and neonatal outcomes, but it has no significant contribution for the durations of labor stages or perineal integrity. In studies using propylene glycol - polyacrylic acid gel, there are differences for the methods of use. While some studies adopted single use,\cite{citation} some other studies compared the use at intervals.\cite{citation}

We used hydroxyethyl cellulose and glycerol gel, which is a new gel format with both oil- and water-based formula, in a single use.\cite{citation} The maternal and neonatal parameters and invasive procedure rates were not statistically significant in our results. Unlike the results reported by Schaub et al.\cite{citation} that it may affect 1-minute Apgar score in particular, we found statistically significant difference in both 1-minute and 5-minute Apgar scores.

In our study, we found significant results in the gel-applied group especially in terms of protecting perineal integrity (p=0.009). Stamp\cite{citation} and Albers\cite{citation} K-Y Gel\textsuperscript{®} (Johnson & Johnson lubricant gel which contains glycerol, hydroxyethyl cellulose, gluconolactone, chlorhexidine, gluconate, methylparaben and sodium hydroxide)
could not find significant results for perineal integrity in their studies. The protection of perineal integrity can be attributed to the idiosyncratic characteristics of obstetric gel or its early use such as even during the first stage of labor. Contrarily, Schaub\textsuperscript{6} and Ashwal,\textsuperscript{10} reporting that they achieved benefits in the protection of perineum, attributed these benefits to the muco-adhesive effects of polyacrylic acid in their gels on birth canal and suggested that they managed to achieve perineal protection in this way. Mladenova et al. also used propylene glycol - polyacrylic acid gel and associated their findings to the similar reasons.\textsuperscript{7} However, the hydroxyethyl cellulose - glycerol gel we used in our study does not contain polyacrylic acid and it has no muco-adhesive effect. Since our study is monocentric, there is no procedure difference as cited in other studies.\textsuperscript{6,12,23}

Rolinska et al. conducted their study on 47 pregnant women\textsuperscript{23} to investigate the effects of propylene glycol - polyacrylic acid gel on pain and exhaustion during labor; however, they could not find any significant result. In our study, we did not investigate any psychological parameter except obstetric data.

In our study, we found no allergic reaction, infection or newborn aspiration which may be encountered due to the use of obstetric gel. In previous studies which used propylene glycol - polyacrylic acid gel, similar adverse effects were also not reported.\textsuperscript{6,12,23} In the light of these findings, we may suggest that the use of obstetric gel during the early moments of the first stage of labor can also be safe.

Conclusion
In our study, we observed that the use of hydroxyethyl cellulose - glycerol gel shortens the first and second stages of labor statistically and it also reduces the formation of perineal lacerations. We have concluded that obstetric labor gel does not create any positive or negative difference for episiotomy need, maternal blood loss and neonatal outcomes. The power of our study was 77.7% in the post-hoc power analysis performed on the basis of type I/II error ratio being 0.05 in terms of gel use and vaginal lacerations. We believe that this is caused by the limited number of cases in our study. We observed no maternal or neonatal adverse effect due to the use of gel during our study.
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